

المستخلص

رُدُودُ الزَّمَلْكَانِي الْمُتَوَفَّى ٦٥١ هـ فِي كِتَابِهِ "غَايَةُ الْمُحْصَلِّ فِي شَرْحِ الْمُفْصَلِّ" عَلَى الزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ وَابْنِ
الْحَاجِبِ (عَرُضٌ وَدِرَاسَةٌ)

إعداد الباحث: وضحي أحمد مسعد آل ورقش

المشرف: أ.د. رفيع بن غازي السلمي

هدفت الدراسة إلى استقراء رُدُودِ الزَّمَلْكَانِي عَلَى الشَّيْخَيْنِ الزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ وَابْنِ الْحَاجِبِ وَدِرَاسَتَهَا، وَكَشْفِ شَخْصِيَّةِ قَائِلِهَا الْعِلْمِيَّةِ مِنْ خِلَالِ مَنْهَجِهِ فِي الرَّدُودِ، مَعَ تَقْوِيمِ عَمَلِهِ فِيهَا. وَقَدْ جَاءَ الْبَحْثُ فِي خَمْسَةِ فُصُولٍ: فَصَلٌّ عَنْ رُدُودِ الزَّمَلْكَانِي فِي كِتَابِهِ (غَايَةُ الْمُحْصَلِّ فِي شَرْحِ الْمُفْصَلِّ) عَلَى الزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ وَابْنِ الْحَاجِبِ فِي قِسْمِ الْأَسْمَاءِ، وَفَصَلٌّ عَنْ رُدُودِ الزَّمَلْكَانِي فِي كِتَابِهِ (غَايَةُ الْمُحْصَلِّ فِي شَرْحِ الْمُفْصَلِّ) عَلَى الزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ وَابْنِ الْحَاجِبِ فِي قِسْمِ الْأَفْعَالِ، وَفَصَلٌّ عَنْ رُدُودِ الزَّمَلْكَانِي فِي كِتَابِهِ (غَايَةُ الْمُحْصَلِّ فِي شَرْحِ الْمُفْصَلِّ) عَلَى الزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ وَابْنِ الْحَاجِبِ فِي قِسْمِ الْحُرُوفِ، وَفَصَلٌّ عَنْ رُدُودِ الزَّمَلْكَانِي فِي كِتَابِهِ (غَايَةُ الْمُحْصَلِّ فِي شَرْحِ الْمُفْصَلِّ) عَلَى الزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ وَابْنِ الْحَاجِبِ فِي قِسْمِ الْمُشْتَرَكِ. وَفَصَلٌّ عَنْ مَنْهَجِ الزَّمَلْكَانِي فِي رُدُودِهِ وَتَقْوِيمِ رِدِّهِ

وقد اتبعت الدراسة المنهج الوصفي التحليلي النقدي القائم على استقراء هذه الردود ومناقشتها بتدعيم ذلك بأقوال النحويين، مع تبيان رأي الباحثة في كل مسألة.

ومن النتائج التي توصلت إليها الدراسة إلهاماً: تمتع الزَّمَلْكَانِي بشخصية علمية فكرية استطاع من خلالها بثَّ أدقِّ العبارات وأوجزها في هذه الردود، وإن كان متأثراً في بعضها بشيخه ابن الحاجب، ألاَّ أنَّه نجح في أن يضع لنفسه تفكيراً مختلفاً ظهر في أسلوبه ومعالجته للمسائل. كما نجح في سدِّ الكثير من الثغرات والمسائل الإشكالية التي كانت بين شيخه ابن الحاجب والزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ. وكذلك يظهر التزامُ الزَّمَلْكَانِي أسلوبَ الوسيطية والاعتدال في الردِّ بعيداً عمَّا نبا من ألفاظ، مع اعتداده بالجانب المنطقي وجعله من الأدوات المحتكم بها في ردوده، إلى جانب عنايته بالتعليل والمعنى في تفسير بعض جواباته.

الكلمات المفتاحية: (رُدُودُ-غَايَةُ الْمُحْصَلِّ فِي شَرْحِ الْمُفْصَلِّ-الزَّمَلْكَانِي-الزَّمَخْشَرِيِّ-ابنِ الْحَاجِبِ)

Abstract

Responses of Al-Zamalkani Died in 651 Hijri in his book " Ghayat Al muhassal fi sharh Al mofassal" to Al-Zamakhshari and Abn-Al Hajeb (presentation and study)

Researcher preparation:Wadha Ahmad AL Wargash

Admin: Rafi Ghazi Al-Salami

The study aimed to extrapolate and study Al-Zamalkani's responses to the two Sheikhs, Al-Zamakhshari and Ibn Al-Hajeb, and to reveal the personality of its scholar through his approach to responses, with an evaluation of his work in them.

The research came in five chapters; A chapter on Zamalekani's responses in his book (Ghayat al-Muhassal fi Sharh al-Mufassal) to al-Zamakhshari and Ibn al-Hajib in the section on names, a chapter on the responses of Al-Zamalkani in his book (Ghayat Al-Muhassal in Sharh Al-Mofassal) to Al-Zamakhshari and Ibn Al-Hajib in the section on verbs, And a chapter on the responses of Al-Zamalakani in his book (Ghayat Al-Muhassal in Sharh Al-Mofassal) to Al-Zamakhshari and Ibn Al-Hajib in the letters section, And a chapter on the responses of Al-Zamalkani in his book (Ghayat Al-Muhassal in Sharh Al-Mofassal) to Al-Zamakhshari and Ibn Al-Hajib in the joint sectionAnd a chapter on Al-Zamalakani's approach in his responses and evaluation of his response) .

The study followed the descriptive analytical and critical approach based on the extrapolation and discussion of these responses by supporting this with the words of the grammarians, with an explanation of the researcher's opinion on each issue.

Among the results that the study reached: Al-Zamalekani enjoyed a scholarly and intellectual personality through which he was able to broadcast the most accurate and summarized phrases in these responses, although he was influenced in some of them by his sheikh Ibn Al-Hajeb, but he succeeded in developing a different thinking for himself that appeared in his style and treatment of issues. He also succeeded in bridging many of the gaps and problematic issues that were between his sheikh, Ibn al-Hajib and al-Zamakhshari.

Also, Zamalekani's commitment to moderation and moderation appears in replying away from what was reported from the words, with his reliance on the logical aspect and making it one of the tools invoked in his

responses, in addition to his attention to reasoning and meaning in the interpretation of some of his answers.

Keywords: (Refutations - Ghayat al-Muhassal in Sharh al-Mofassal - Zamalekani - Zamakhshari - Ibn al-Hajeb)